

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Wage Work (Yes=1)				Wage Work (Yes=1)			
	Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages		RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages		Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages		RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages	
CT in CT villages [A]	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.05	-0.01	0.05	-0.00	0.04
	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.01]	[0.04]	[0.00]	[0.05]
NCT in CT villages [B]	0.02	0.02	-0.00	0.05	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.04
	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.00]	[0.04]	[0.00]	[0.05]
PET[C]	0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.06	0.02	-0.04	0.00	-0.04
	[0.05]	[0.05]	[0.06]	[0.07]	[0.01]	[0.05]	[0.00]	[0.06]
PEV[D]	-0.04	-0.04	-0.03	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.00	-0.01
	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.08]	[0.01]	[0.05]	[0.00]	[0.06]
#HH[E]	-0.00	-0.00	-0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.04**
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.02]
Constant	0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.03	-0.00	-0.01	-0.00	0.03
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.00]	[0.03]	[0.00]	[0.03]
Observations	1166	1166	467	301	1166	764	467	322
Adjusted R-squared	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02
Meters	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Outcome Mean Pure Control	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30
HH around(#)	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78

(1) Sample in Table F4 includes all ultra-poor households that were interviewed in one of the follow-up surveys. Wage work is a binary indicator if the respondent worked in wage or salaried employment in the past 30 days.

(2) Table F4 includes answers from primary female respondent and her husband.

(3) The regression discontinuity (RD) estimation exploits the sharp discontinuity at the 18 EV cutoff that determined village-level program eligibility to receive cash transfers. We estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) using only observations close to the cutoff +/- 18 EVs.